

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/750,632	SIMONOFF ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Phillip H. Nguyen	2191	

All Participants:

(1) Phillip H. Nguyen.

(2) Gerhard W. Thielman (Reg. No. 43,186).

Date of Interview: 5 December 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102

Claims discussed:

All independent claims.

Prior art documents discussed:

Dye et al.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed the allowable subject matters. Independent claims need to further amend the clarify the claimed invention.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)